Clinical Decision Support Historical Perspectives – 2

Component 11 / Unit 3

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Versi

Scoring and heuristics

- Knowledge is represented as profiles of findings that occur in diseases
- There are measures of importance and frequency for each finding in each disease
- Found to be most "scalable" approach for comprehensive decision support systems
- Examples INTERNIST-1/QMR, Dxplain, Iliad

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version

History of systems using scoring and heuristics approach

- INTERNIST-1
 - Original approach, aimed to develop an expert diagnostician in internal medicine (Miller, 1982)
 - System originally designed to mimic the expertise of an expert diagnostician at the University of Pittsburgh, Dr. Jack Meyers
 - Evolved into Quick Medical Reference (QMR) where goal changed to using knowledge base explicitly (Miller, 1986)
- DxPlain used principles of INTERNIST-1/QMR but developed more disease coverage (Barnett, 1987)
 - Only system still available: http://www.lcs.mgh.harvard.edu/dxplain.asp
- Iliad attempted to add Bayesian statistics to the approach (Warner, 1989)

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0 /Fall 2010

INTERNIST-1/QMR knowledge representation

- Disease profiles findings known to reliably occur in the disease
- Findings from history, exam, and laboratory
- Import each finding has a measure of how important it is to explain (e.g., fever, chest pain)
- Properties e.g., taboos, such as a male cannot get pregnant and a female cannot get prostate cancer

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version

Findings in diseases

- For each finding that occurs in each disease, there are two measures
 - Evoking strength the likelihood of a disease given a finding
 - Scored from 0 (finding non-specific) to 5 (pathognomic)
 - Frequency the likelihood of a finding given a disease
 - \bullet Scored from 1 (occurs rarely) to 5 (occurs in all cases)

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version

Disease profile for acute myocardial infarction Dx: MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION ACUTE _ 🗆 × Is associated with 134 Finding(s) arranged: (w/References) In Textbook order: History, symptoms, signs, labs
 By Frequency Past Medical History. Symptoms of Current Illness... Chest Pain Substernal At Rest 2 4 3 4 3 4 0 4 Chest Pain Substernal Lasting 20 Minute(s) Or Gtr Chest Pain Substernal Unrelieved By Nitroglycerin Onset Abrupt
Chest Pain Substernal Crushing
Chest Pain Substernal Radiating To Neck And/Or Upper Extremity(ies) 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 Chest Pain Substernal Severe Abdomen Pain Acute Abdomen Pain Epigastrium
Abdomen Pain Epigastrium Unrelieved By Antacid Abdomen Pain Exacerbation With Exercise Abdomen Pain Non Colicky Abdomen Pain Present Abdomen Pain Severe He

-			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_	 	 	
_			
_			

INTERNIST-1/QMR scoring algorithm

- Initial positive and negative findings are entered by user
- A disease hypothesis is created for any disease that has one or more of the positive findings entered
- Each disease hypothesis gets a score
 - Positive component based on evoking strengths of all findings
 - Negative component of score based on frequency from findings expected to occur but which are designated as absent
- A diagnosis is made if the top-ranking diagnosis is >80 points (one pathognomic finding) above the next-highest one
 - When diagnosis made, all findings for a disease are removed from the list, and subsequent diagnoses are made
- Performed as well as experts in NEJM clinical cases (Miller, 1982)

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0 /Fall 2010

Limitations of INTERNIST-1 and evolution to QMR

- Limitations
 - Long learning curve
 - Data entry time-consuming
 - Diagnostic dilemmas not a major proportion of clinician information needs
 - Knowledge base incomplete
- Evolution to QMR (Miller, 1986)
 - Less value in "case" mode
 - More value in knowledge exploration mode, e.g.,
 - Rule diseases in and out
 - Obtain differential diagnoses
 - Link to more detailed information
- Became commercial product but did not succeed in marketplace

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0 /Fall 2010

Toward the modern era

- By the late 1980s and early 1990s, it was apparent that
 - Diagnostic process was too complex for computer programs
 - Systems took long time to use and did not provide information that clinicians truly needed
 - "Greek Oracle" model was inappropriate to medical usefulness (Miller, 1990)
- More recently
 - Diagnostic decision support systems less effective than therapeutic systems (Garg, 2005)
 - General failure of Al and ESs to live up to the hype of the 1980s has been acknowledged (Mullins, 2005)
 - But diagnostic error still does continue, and harms patients (Garber, 2007)

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0 /Fall 2010

9

Where are we headed now?

- Decision support evolved in the 1990s with recognition of their value within EHR
 - Rules and algorithms most useful in this context
 - Evolution from broad-based diagnostic decision support to narrower therapeutic decision support (covered in following segments)
- AMIA "roadmap" for future provides three "key pillars" (Osheroff, 2006; Osheroff, 2007)
 - Best knowledge available when needed
 - High adoption and effective use
 - Continuous improvement of knowledge and methods

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version

10

But the quest for diagnostic decision support continues

- Isabel (www.isabelhealthcare.com) "Second generation" approach uses
 - Natural language processing to map entered text into findings
 - List of differential diagnosis with 30 most likely diagnoses grouped by body system, not probability
- Performance studies
 - Initial development and validation for pediatrics (Ramnarayan, 2006) reminded of one clinically important case 1 of 8 times
 - Subsequently extended and evaluated in emergency department (Ramnarayan, 2007) – displayed correct diagnosis 95% of time and 90% of time showed "must-not-miss" diagnoses
 - Now expanded to adult internal medicine (Graber, 2008) pasting in text from NEJM case reports had correct diagnosis suggested in 48 of 50 cases for key text and 37 of 50 cases for all text

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0 /Fall 2010

Workforce Curriculum Version

Other continuing approaches – "Googling" for a diagnosis?

- Large quantity of text in Google may hold latent knowledge?
 - Found in a case study to make diagnosis of a rare condition (Greenwald, 2005)
 - When text of NEJM cases entered, 15 of 26 had correct diagnosis in top three suggested (Tang, 2006)

Component 11 / Unit 3

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Versio 1.0 /Fall 2010 12

_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
-			
_			