Fundamentals of Health Workflow Process Analysis and Redesign

Unit 10.8b Quality Improvement Methods

Unit Objectives

Upon successful completion of this unit the student is able to:

- · Describe Strategies for Quality Improvement
- · Describe and recommend tools for quality improvement
- Compare and contrast the quality improvement methodologies and tools and their appropriate uses in the health care setting

Health IT Workforce Curric Version 1.0/Fall 2010

Topics – Unit 10.8

First lecture

Component 10/Unit 8b

- Foundations of Quality Improvement

This lecture

- Methods for Quality Improvement
- Tools for performing quality improvement
- A culture of Quality Improvement
- Mistakes in Quality Improvement

Component 10/Unit 8b



Organizational Culture

- Quality Improvement projects can be aided or impeded by the organizational culture
- Organizational Culture factors to consider
 - Leadership

omponent 10/Unit 8b

- Ability to adapt to change
- Communication ability
- Understanding of change or need for change
- · Factors needed for success
 - Making quality improvement part of the job
 - Leadership support is essential for quality
 - improvement activities to succeed.

Health IT Workforce Curric Version 1.0/Fall 2010

Leadership Support

- Leaders can enable quality improvement in their health care settings by:
 - Creating and promoting a quality vision
 - Increasing staff capacity to support quality
 - improvement
 - Motivating staff to participate in QI projects
 - Establishing the QI teams
 - Demonstrating support of use of metrics to measure performance
 - Making sure that the 'voice' of the patient is heard and acted on
 - Involving staff and patients
 - Including QI in the budget

Component 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0/Fall 2010



Reflect on these notes of the challenges you will face, the factors that may influence your success, the steps that you might consider taking to assure success as we review the quality improvement method and tools

Quality Improvement Methods

Health IT Workforce Curric Version 1.0/Fall 2010

Many methods

Component 10/Unit 8b

onent 10/Unit 8b

- Human-centered and supportive of the implementation of Health IT
- Originally tailored for enterprises, not necessarily health care.

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1 0/Fall 2010

API Improvement Model

- · Tom Nolan and Lloyd Provost
- Simple model for Process Improvement based on Deming's PDSA cycle
- Three fundamental questions form basis of improvement
 - What are we trying to accomplish?
 - How will we know that a change is an improvement?
 - What changes can we make that will result in improvement?

Component 10/Unit 8b

onent 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1 0/Fall 2010 10

11

12

Baldrige Criteria and Related Systems

- Originally developed and applied to business
- 1987 Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award created Public Law 100-107 (1987)

Health IT Workforce Curric Version 1.0/Fall 2010

Healthcare specific criteria (1997)

FOCUS-PDCA

- 1980s Dr. Paul Batalden and team developed model.
- · Find an opportunity for improvement
- Organize an effort
- Clarify current understanding
- Understand the process variations and capability
- Select a strategy
- **PDCA** cycle test the strategy

Component 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1 0/Fall 2010



ISO 9000

- 1. International Standards Organization
- 2. 1987 - initial ISO 9000 guidelines for performance improvement.
- 3. Components
 - 1. Design and develop a QI program
 - 2. Create a sociocultural environment and a structure that
 - supports improvement
 - 3. Reduce or avoid quality losses
 - 4. Define QI responsibilities
 - 5. Develop an improvement planning process
 - 6. Develop an improvement measurement process
 - 7. Develop an improvement review process
 - 8. Carry out QI projects
 - 9. Analyze the facts before you decide to do QI

Component 10/Unit 8b

Component 10/Unit 8b

Kaizen

Health IT Workforce Curricu Version 1.0/Fall 2010

14

15

- Kaizen Japanese for change for the better; the common English term is continuous improvement.
- Term connotes ongoing improvement ٠ involving everyone
- Assumes our way of life deserves to be constantly improved
- Includes improvement practices

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0/Fall 2010



Lean thinking

- Assumptions underlying lean thinking are" People value the visual effect of flow ٠
 - _ Waste is the main restriction to profitability
 - Many small Improvements in rapid succession are more beneficial that analytical study

 - Process interaction effects will be resolved through value stream refinement
 - People in operations appreciate this approach
 - Lean involves many people in the value stream
- Transitioning to flow thinking causes vast changes • in how people perceive their roles in the organization and relationships to the product

Health IT Workforce Curric Version 1.0/Fall 2010

17

18

Six Sigma

- Hewlett-Packard, Motorola, GE
- Directly from quality thinking in the 1930s
- 6o, Six Sigma combines established methods such as statistical process control, experimental design and FMEA in an overall framework.
- Aim to reduce variation
- DMAIC

onent 10/Unit 8b

- Define
- Measure
- Analyze Improve
- Control

Component 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1 0/Fall 2010

Six Sigma DMAIC

Define - project goals and boundaries are set, and issues are identified that must be addressed to achieve improved quality

Measure – Information about the current situation is gathered in order to obtain baseline data on current process performance and identify problem areas

Analyze – Root causes of quality problems are identified and confirmed with appropriate data analysis tools

Improve – Solutions are implemented to address the root causes of problems identified during the analysis phase

Control – Improvements are elevated and monitored. Hold the gains.

Component 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1 0/Fall 2010

19

21



Basic Tools

•

FLOWCHART
- a map of each step of a process
- a good starting point for a team seeking to improve an existing process or attempting to plan
a new process or system.

Cause-and-Effect Diagram - Ishikawa, or fishbone, diagram - assist in organizing the contributing causes to a complex problem (American Society for Quality 2000).

.

- PARETO CHART

 - 80 percent of the wealth in Italy was held by 20 percent of the population (Pareto)

 - 80 percent of the variation of any characteristic is caused by only 20 percent of the possible variables
- helps management concentrate resources on correcting major contributors to variation (American Society for Quality 2000).

CHECK SHEETS

- used to measure the frequency of events or defects over short intervals
 immediately provides data to help to understand and improve a process.

Component 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0/Fall 2010



Basic Tools

AFFINITY DIAGRAM

- Can encourage people to develop creative solutions to problems A list of ideas is created, then individual ideas are written on small note cards. Team members study the cards and group the ideas into common categories. use to help achieve order out of a brainstorming session (American Society for Quality 2000).

CURRENT REALITY TREE .

- employs cause-and-effect logic to determine what to change Identifies root causes or core problem Use to create a consensus among those involved with a problem (Heim 1999).

INTERRELATIONSHIP DIAGRAPH

- Lenk Lenk of the provided of t

nent 10/Unit 8b

T

Health IT Workforce Curric Version 1.0/Fall 2010

23

24

Basic Tools

MATRIX DIAGRAM

- a series of planning tools built around the matrix chart helps when there are more tasks than available recourses and management needs to prioritize based on data rather than emotion
- _
- allows a group to systematically discuss, identify, and prioritize the criteria that have the most influence on the decision and study the possibilities (American Society for Quality 2000). _ TREE DIAGRAM
- - DIALYCRW helps identify the tasks and methods needed to solve a problem and reach a goal creates a detailed and orderly view of the complete range of tasks that need to be accomplished to achieve a goard or interrelationship diagraph has identified the primary causes and relationships (American Society for Quality 2000).
- PROCESS DECISION PROGRAM CHART a type of contingency plan that guides the efforts of a team when things do not turn out as expected
 - expected actions to be completed are listed, then possible scenarios about problems that could occur are developed Management decides in advance which measures will be taken to solve those problems should they occur helpful when a procedure is new and little or no experience is available to predict what might go wrong (American Society for Quality 2000).

Component 10/Unit 8b

	Basic Tools	
FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS		
-	FMEA is a method for looking at potential problems and their causes as well as predicting undesired results	
	developed in the aerospace And defense industries and widely applied	
	normally used to predict product failure from past part failure, but it can also be used to analyze future system failures	
-	Enables people to focus energy and resources on prevention, monitoring, and response plans where they are most likely to pay off	
POKA-		
-	Japanese name for "mistake proofing"	
	can be thought of as an extension of FMEA	
	puts special attention on human error	
CREATIVITY TOOLS		
-	Although this group is not known as a fixed list of specific tools-that would be incongruent with the concept of creativity-it typically includes brainstorming, mind maps, Edward deBono's (1999) six thinking hats, and the use of analogies	
-	help one look at processes in new ways and identify unique solutions	
STATISTICAL TOOLS		
-	used for more sophisticated process data analysis	
-	help understand the sources of variation, the relative contribution of each variable, and the interrelationships between variables	
Statistical process control (SPC)		
-	a graphic means used to monitor and respond to special causes of variation	
-	statistical techniques that can be applied to both parametric and nonparametric data	
	allows the analysis of the statistical significance of more complex interrelationships	
Comp	onent 10/Unit 8b Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0/Fall 2010	25

Quality Improvement Mistakes

Mistakes in Purpose & Preparation

- Error #1: choosing a subject which is too difficult or which a collaborative is not appropriate
- Error #2: Participants not defining their objectives and assessing their capacity to benefit from the collaborative.
- Error #3: Not defining roles or making clear what is expected of individuals taking part in the collaborative as faculty or participants
- Error #4: Neglecting team building and preparation by teams for the collaborative

From: Jovretveit, Quality and safety in health care, 2002

Health IT Workforce Curricu Version 1.0/Fall 2010

Quality Improvement Mistakes

Mistakes in Planning and Operations

- Error #5: Teaching rather than enabling mutual learning
- Error #6: Failing to motivate and empower team
- Error #7: Not developing measurable and achievable targets

Mistakes in transition and implementation

· Error#8: Failing to learn and plan for sustaining

Component 10/Unit 8b

omponent 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0/Fall 2010 27

References

- 1. Chang, Richard Y., Continuous Process Improvement, Richard Chang Associates, Irvine, CA, 1994.
- Califf, Robert M., Translating Clinical Trials into Practice, (Keynote). Texas Heart Institute Journal vol 33, no 2 (2006) 192196.
- 3. www.iso.org
- 4. <u>https://www.dchi.duke.edu/</u>
- Ransom, Scott B., Joshi, Maulik S., and Nash, David B. ed., The Healthcare Quality Book: Vision, Strategy, and Tools, Health Administration Press, Chicago, Illinois, AUPHA Press, Washington, D.C., 2005.

Component 10/Unit 8b

Health IT Workforce Curriculum Version 1.0/Fall 2010